

Public Utilities *FORTNIGHTLY*

July 21, 1988 (Vol. 122, No. 2)
In Two Sections — Section 2



Index to Volume 121

*Including the Issues of January 7, 1988
to June 23, 1988*

PUBLIC UTILITIES REPORTS, INC.
ARLINGTON, VA.

**A
PULLOUT
SECTION**

Copyright © 1988
by
Public Utilities Reports, Inc.

INDEX

To Vol. 121 of *Public Utilities Fortnightly*

For Index to Feature Articles, see page vi.
Boldface type indicates feature articles.
Numbers in italics refer to particular issue.

Subject Index

ACCOUNTING

Equity discounting method, determination of losses from "direct" utility plant cost disallowances, 3/17, 22.
Financial accounting standards, exceptions, regulated and unregulated businesses, 2/4, 25.

BANKRUPTCY

Electric utility bankruptcy filing, 3/17, 4.

COGENERATION

"Anti-cogeneration" contracts, state regulation of, 6/23, 43.
Competitive bidding in electric power procurement, 3/17, 41.
Financial risk, impact on availability of small power producers, 2/4, 18.
Independent power producers gear up for battle with electric utilities, 2/18, 37.
Net energy use impacts of PURPA implementation, 5/12, 28.
New England, cogeneration impact study commissioned, 2/4, 6.
PURPA, dangers of FERC's proposed modifications, 6/23, 9.
PURPA, FERC's proposed modifications, Consumer Energy Council of America study, 3/31, 4; generally (notices of proposed rule makings), 4/14, 40; NARUC's response to NOPRs, 3/31, 25.

COMMISSIONS

Massachusetts commissioner views his job in retrospect, 6/9, 51.

CONGRESS

Clean air-acid rain proposal rejected by House, 1/7, 24.
Energy agenda for 1988, 3/17, 28.
Energy appropriations bill for 1989 approved by House, 6/23, 26.
Energy budget, 1989, 3/17, 30.
Normalization bill examined by House panel, 2/4, 36.
Tennessee Valley Authority, accountability needed, 5/12, 39.
Utility antitakeover provision passed by Congress, 2/4, 35.
Utility Ratepayer Refund Act of 1987, 2/4, 36.
Washington and the Utilities, 1/7, 24; 1/21, 31; 2/4, 35; 2/18, 37; 3/3, 34; 3/17, 28; 3/31, 25; 4/14, 40; 4/28, 36; 5/12, 39; 5/26, 39; 6/9, 49; 6/23, 26.

CONSERVATION

As energy alternative, states' roles, 2/18, 50.
Clean air-acid rain proposal rejected by House, 1/7, 24.

CONSOLIDATION, MERGER, AND SALE

Antitakeover provision passed by Congress, 2/4, 35.
Consolidation among electric utilities, market structure and opportunities, 1/7, 9.
Holding company formation and weakening of state commission authority, 4/14, 56.
Takeovers in electric utility industry, obstacles to, 1/21, 9.

DEPRECIATION

"Flexible depreciation" for natural gas companies, 5/26, 49.

ELECTRICITY

All source bidding, pros and cons, 4/28, 4.
Antitrust exposure for electric utilities in view of increased competition, 3/3, 22.
Bankruptcy filing, Public Service Co. of New Hampshire, 3/17, 4.
Competitive bidding in electric power procurement, 3/17, 41.
Consolidation among electric utilities, market structure and opportunities, 1/7, 9.
Dispatchable load management projects, implementation of, 4/14, 23.
Dividend reduction and estimating cost of equity capital, 4/28, 11.
Electric industry needs realistic national energy policy, 6/9, 4.
Electric Power Research Institute, salute to, 1/21, 4.
Electric utility executives' forum, 6/9, 78.
Electric utility restructuring, FERC commissioners debate, 3/31, 40.
Electricity competition and the public interest, 6/23, 37.
Fiber-optic networks, utility ownership, regulation by states or FCC, 4/28, 32.
Forecasting load and financial performance, 1/7, 21.
Free market electricity, impacts on utility pooling and coordination, 2/18, 16.
Hydropower projects, FERC extends licensing authority, 6/9, 49.
Independent power producers gear up for battle with electric utilities, 2/18, 37.
Integrated least-cost utility planning, 3/3, 27.

ELECTRICITY — Cont'd

Load research, value of, 6/9, 32.
 Natural gas in electric power generation, case for increasing use of, 2/18, 24.
 Net energy use impacts of PURPA implementation, 5/12, 28.
 Power contracts, third-party costs, and regulation, 4/14, 31.
 Powering America to a more productive future, 6/9, 17.
 Privatization of municipal hydroelectric facilities under current law, 2/4, 11.
 PURPA, dangers of FERC's proposed modifications, 6/23, 9.
 PURPA, FERC's proposed modifications, Consumer Energy Council of America study, 3/31, 4; generally (notices of proposed rule makings), 4/14, 40; NARUC's response to NOPRs, 3/31, 25.
 Residential customers, large and small, costs of serving, 6/9, 42.
 Return on common equity, survey of electric rate cases, 6/9, 67.
 Table — financial stock data, 1/7, 34; 2/4, 44; 3/3, 43; 3/31, 33; 4/28, 44; 5/26, 47; 6/23, 35.
 Takeovers and buyouts, obstacles to, examination of, 1/21, 9.
 Tennessee Valley Authority, accountability needed, 5/12, 39.
 Wholesale electric power marketing and brokering, 2/4, 51.

GAS

Anticompetitive abuse by producers under the Natural Gas Policy Act, 3/3, 15.
 Capital recovery in the natural gas industry, flexible amortization proposed, 1/21, 18.
 FERC's natural gas policy analyzed, 1/21, 31.
 FERC's natural gas policy making, an update, 5/26, 39.
 "Flexible depreciation" for natural gas companies, 5/26, 49.
 Gas rate design, coping with uncertain demand, 1/7, 16.
 Great Britain, recently privatized natural gas industry examined, 5/26, 20.
 International Gas Union, interview with president of, 5/26, 15.
 Marginal demand analysis as a response to competition, 5/26, 34.
 Natural gas in electric power generation, case for increasing use of, 2/18, 24.
 Supply planning, up-to-date methods examined, 5/26, 28.
 Table — financial stock data, 1/21, 38; 2/18, 44; 3/17, 36; 4/14, 50; 5/12, 46; 6/9, 62.
 Transportation policies, developments in, 5/26, 55.
 Underground gas storage, gas migration and ownership, 5/26, 4.

INTERCORPORATE RELATIONS

Affiliated relationships, keeping regulators informed, 2/4, 30.

LEGISLATION

Net energy use impacts of PURPA implementation, 5/12, 28.
 PURPA, dangers of FERC's proposed modifications, 6/23, 9.
 PURPA, FERC's proposed modifications, Consumer Energy Council of America study, 3/31, 4; generally (notices of proposed rule makings), 4/14, 40; NARUC's response to NOPRs, 3/31, 25.
 Staggers Rail Act, 1/7, 25.
 Washington and the Utilities, 1/7, 24; 1/21, 31; 2/4, 35; 2/18, 37; 3/3, 34; 3/17, 28; 3/31, 25; 4/14, 40; 4/28, 36; 5/12, 39; 5/26, 39; 6/9, 49; 6/23, 26.

MONOPOLY AND COMPETITION

Anticompetitive abuse by producers under the Natural Gas Policy Act, 3/3, 15.
 Antitrust exposure for electric utilities in view of increased competition, 3/3, 22.
 Bulk power interchange, test to determine workably competitive environment for, 4/14, 13.
 Competition and the obligation to serve, 1/7, 36.
 Electricity competition and the public interest, 6/23, 37.
 Gas rate design in a competitive era, 1/7, 16.
 Telecommunications, incentive regulation, 2/18, 31.
 Telecommunications regulation, social compromise proposed, 5/12, 16.

NEWS ITEMS

March of Events, 1/7, 39; 1/21, 40; 2/4, 49; 2/18, 47; 3/3, 46; 3/17, 38; 3/31, 38; 4/14, 53; 4/28, 49; 5/12, 48; 5/26, 52; 6/9, 64; 6/23, 41.

NUCLEAR POWER

Shoreham nuclear plant to be closed, 6/23, 28.

PAGES WITH THE EDITOR

All source bidding, pros and cons, 4/28, 4.
 Bankruptcy filing, Public Service Co. of New Hampshire, 3/17, 4.
 Demand-side management evaluation, an exercise in, 6/23, 4.
 Electric industry needs realistic national energy policy, 6/9, 4.
 Electric Power Research Institute, salute to, 1/21, 4.
 Greenhouse effect, predicted impact on energy utilities, 4/14, 4.
 National Engineers Week, 2/18, 5.
 New England, cogeneration impact study commissioned, 2/4, 6.
 Productivity statistics profiled, 1/21, 5.
 PURPA, FERC's proposed modifications, Consumer Energy Council of America study, 3/31, 4.
 Rate base, what if it vanishes, 3/3, 4.
 Regulatory procedures, continuing effort to improve, 5/12, 4.
 Rural telecommunications in Wisconsin, 2/18, 4.
 Underground gas storage, gas migration and ownership, 5/26, 4.
 Utility index options available for trading, 2/4, 4.
 Utility system planning, divergent objectives of, 1/7, 4.

PAYMENT

Reducing utility bad debt, Michigan's Energy Assurance Program, 3/17, 16.

PLANNING

Demand-side management evaluation, an exercise in, 6/23, 4.
 Gas supply planning, 5/26, 28.
 Greenhouse effect, predicted impact on utilities, 4/14, 4.
 Integrated least-cost utility planning, 3/3, 27.
 Least-cost planning and cost recovery, 3/31, 9.
 Least-cost planning and demand-side management, fallacies and truth, 4/28, 19.
 Least-cost planning and utility regulation, 3/17, 9.
 Load research, value of, 6/9, 32.
 Standby generators, use of, in least-cost planning, 4/14, 18.
 Utility system planning, divergent objectives of, 1/7, 4.

PROGRESS OF REGULATION

Review of Current Cases, 1/7, 46; 1/21, 45; 2/4, 53; 2/18, 54; 3/3, 51; 3/17, 45; 3/31, 43; 4/14, 59; 4/28, 54; 5/12, 53; 5/26, 58; 6/9, 73; 6/23, 46.
 Trends and Topics, 1/7, 42; 1/21, 42; 2/4, 51; 2/18, 50; 3/3, 48; 3/17, 41; 3/31, 40; 4/14, 56; 4/28, 51; 5/12, 50; 5/26, 55; 6/9, 67; 6/23, 43.

PUBLIC UTILITIES

Cost increase estimating model described, 3/31, 21.
 Customer service, use of as strategic marketing tool, 6/23, 13.
 Economic efficiency in plant construction prudence reviews, 6/9, 22.
 Growth in demand for public utility service, 2/18, 11.
 Human resources, controlling size and productivity of work force, 3/31, 17.
 Utility antitakeover provision passed by Congress, 2/4, 35.

RAILROADS

Staggers Rail Act, 1/7, 25.

RATES

Cost increase estimating model described, 3/31, 21.
 Gas rate design, coping with uncertain demand, 1/7, 16.
 Marginal demand analysis as a response to competition, 5/26, 34.
 Residential electric customers, large and small, respective costs of serving, 6/9, 42.
 Selected utility rate filings, 1/7, 62; 1/21, 62; 2/4, 74; 2/18, 74; 3/3, 70; 3/17, 66; 3/31, 62; 4/14, 82; 4/28, 70; 5/12, 66; 5/26, 78; 6/9, 138; 6/23, 66.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND DEMONSTRATION

Electric Power Research Institute, salute to, 1/21, 4.
Superconductivity, update on prospects, 4/28, 36.

RETURN

Constant growth discounted cash-flow model, reliability of, 1/21, 24.
Discounted cash-flow model, use has not been invalidated, 3/31, 35.
Electric utilities, dividend reduction and estimating cost of equity capital, 4/28, 11.
FERC's discounted cash-flow method, 2/4, 46.
Operating ratio as an earnings control standard, 4/28, 46.
Optimal utility bond ratings, 5/12, 9.
Return on common equity, survey of electric rate cases, 6/9, 67.

SECURITY ISSUES

Convertible debt, 3/3, 39.
Dividend cutting experience of electric utilities, 4/28, 11.
Electric utilities in 1987, a perspective, 2/4, 40.
Electric utility cash flows, melting of, 6/9, 54.
Electric utility dividend trends, 2/18, 40.
Electric utility financial results, calendar 1987, 5/12, 42.
Electric utility quarterly financial results, 1/7, 29.
Electricity, production and rates, recent trends in, 3/17, 32.
Excess capacity and the rate-making process, 3/31, 29.
Financial News and Comment, 1/7, 29; 1/21, 34; 2/4, 40; 2/18, 40; 3/3, 39; 3/17, 32; 3/31, 29; 4/14, 46; 4/28, 40; 5/12, 42; 5/26, 43; 6/9, 54; 6/23, 30.
Market Diary, 1/7, 32; 1/21, 36; 2/4, 42; 2/18, 42; 3/3, 41; 3/17, 34; 3/31, 31; 4/14, 48; 4/28, 42; 5/12, 44; 5/26, 45; 6/9, 57; 6/23, 32.
New England gas companies, 5/26, 43.
Optimal utility bond ratings, 5/12, 9.
Regulation and future electric power supply, 4/28, 40.
Table — data on communications stocks, 1/21, 39; 2/18, 45; 3/17, 37; 4/14, 51; 5/12, 47; 6/9, 63.
Table — data on electric utility stocks, 1/7, 34; 2/4, 44; 3/3, 43; 3/31, 33; 4/28, 44; 5/26, 47; 6/23, 35.
Table — data on gas utility stocks, 1/21, 38; 2/18, 44; 3/17, 36; 4/14, 50; 5/12, 46; 6/9, 62.
Table — data on telephone utility stocks, 1/21, 39; 2/18, 45; 3/17, 37; 4/14, 51; 5/12, 47; 6/9, 63.
Table — data on water utility stocks, 1/21, 39; 2/18, 45; 3/17, 37; 4/14, 51; 5/12, 47; 6/9, 63.
Utility index options available for trading, 2/4, 4.
Water company performance, 1987 versus 1986, 6/23, 30.
Water utility stocks, before and after the crash, 1/21, 34.
Yield versus quality, 4/14, 46.

SERVICE

Automatic meter reading, evolution of, 6/23, 20.
Competition and the obligation to serve, 1/7, 36.
Customer service, use of as strategic marketing tool, 6/23, 13.

TABLES

Data on communications stocks, 1/21, 39; 2/18, 45; 3/17, 37; 4/14, 51; 5/12, 47; 6/9, 63.
Data on electric utility stocks, 1/7, 34; 2/4, 44; 3/3, 43; 3/31, 33; 4/28, 44; 5/26, 47; 6/23, 35.
Data on gas utility stocks, 1/21, 38; 2/18, 44; 3/17, 36; 4/14, 50; 5/12, 46; 6/9, 62.

TABLES — Cont'd

Data on telephone utility stocks, 1/21, 39; 2/18, 45; 3/17, 37; 4/14, 51; 5/12, 47; 6/9, 63.
Data on water utility stocks, 1/21, 39; 2/18, 45; 3/17, 37; 4/14, 51; 5/12, 47; 6/9, 63.

TAXES

Normalization bill examined by House panel, 2/4, 36.
Normalization of deferred income taxes, defense of existing statutory mandate, 5/12, 24.

TELEPHONES

FCC common cost allocation procedures, state regulatory concerns, 4/28, 51.
Fiber-optic networks, utility ownership, regulation by states or FCC, 4/28, 32.
976 audiotex service, 3/3, 48.
Rural telecommunications in Wisconsin, 2/18, 4.
Table — financial stock data, 1/21, 39; 2/18, 45; 3/17, 37; 4/14, 51; 5/12, 47; 6/9, 63.
Telecommunications, incentive regulation, 2/18, 31.
Telecommunications regulation, social compromise proposed, 5/12, 16.
Telecommunications social contracts, case study in, 4/28, 27.
Universal telephone service programs, 5/12, 50.

TRENDS AND TOPICS

"Anti-cogeneration" contracts, state regulation of, 6/23, 43.
Competitive bidding in electric power procurement, 3/17, 41.
Conservation as energy alternative, states' roles, 2/18, 50.
Damage awards, rate-making treatment, 1/21, 42.
Electric utility restructuring, FERC commissioners debate, 3/31, 40.
FCC common cost allocation procedures, state regulatory concerns, 4/28, 51.
Gas transportation policies, developments in, 5/26, 55.
Holding company formation and weakening of state commission authority, 4/14, 56.
976 audiotex service, 3/3, 48.
Return on common equity, survey of electric rate cases, 6/9, 67.
Universal telephone service programs, 5/12, 50.
Wholesale electric power marketing and brokering, 2/4, 51.
Year-end review, 1987, 1/7, 42.

UNITED STATES

Energy budget, 1989, 3/17, 30.
Presidential candidates and energy policy, 3/3, 34.

VALUATION

Capital recovery in the natural gas industry, flexible amortization proposed, 1/21, 18.
Damage awards, rate-making treatment, 1/21, 42.
Investment cost recovery and incentives for power plant construction, 3/3, 9.
Rate base, what if it vanishes, 3/3, 4.

WATER

Hydropower projects, FERC extends licensing authority, 6/9, 49.
Privatization of municipal hydroelectric facilities under current law, 2/4, 11.
Table — financial stock data, 1/21, 39; 2/18, 45; 3/17, 37; 4/14, 51; 5/12, 47; 6/9, 63.

PUBLIC UTILITIES FORTNIGHTLY
FEATURE ARTICLES

<i>Title</i>	<i>Author</i>	<i>Issue</i>	<i>Page</i>
Market Structure and Opportunities in the Electric Utility Industry Today	Michael D. Yokell and Daniel M. Violette	1/7	9
Gas Rate Design in a Competitive Era: Coping with Uncertain Demand	Connie C. Barlow	1/7	16
Allowing for Regulation in Forecasting Load and Financial Performance	Peter Fox-Penner	1/7	21
The Raiders Are Coming! (Maybe)	David J. Rosso, Boyd J. Springer, and Karl B. Anderson	1/21	9
A Tonic for the Natural Gas Industry: Change in the Timetable of Capital Recovery	Connie C. Barlow and Arlon R. Tussing	1/21	18
Does the Constant Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model Portray Reality?	Joseph F. Brennan and Paul R. Moul	1/21	24
Privatization of Municipal Hydroelectric Facilities Under Current Law	Jeremiah D. Lambert and James Richard O'Neill	2/4	11
The Impact of Financial Risk on the Availability of Small Power Producers	Lloyd Dyer and Richard T. D'Aquanni	2/4	18
Can There Be Regulatory Accounting in an Unregulated World?	Robert J. Swieringa	2/4	25
Keeping Regulators Informed on Affiliated Relationships	Brian T. Stewart and Frank A. Hoffmann	2/4	30
Growth in Demand for Public Utility Service: New Rules and Old Principles	Michael Gort and Richard A. Wall	2/18	11
Free Market Electricity: Potential Impact on Utility Pooling and Coordination	John A. Casazza	2/18	16
The Case for Increasing Use of Natural Gas in Electric Power Generation	Henry R. Linden	2/18	24
Why Changes in Telecommunications Regulation Should Be Made Slowly and Carefully	Gail Garfield Schwartz	2/18	31
Investment Cost Recovery and Incentives for Power Plant Construction	Elizabeth Olmsted Teisberg	3/3	9
Anticompetitive Abuse by Producers Under the Natural Gas Policy Act	Eugene E. Threadgill	3/3	15
The FERC Opens Pandora's Box: Increased Competition and Heightened Antitrust Exposure for Electric Utilities	Jeffrey H. Howard and Richard A. Westfall	3/3	22
Toward a Standard Practice Approach to Integrated Least-cost Utility Planning	Eric C. Woychik	3/3	27
Least-cost Planning and Utility Regulation	David Berry	3/17	9
A Joint Venture in Reducing Utility Bad Debt	Shirley Smith Yanz and James D. Heymes	3/17	16
The Equity Discounting Method in the Determination of Losses from "Direct" Utility Plant Cost Disallowances	Larry P. Gentieu	3/17	22
The Forgotten Factor in Least-cost Utility Planning: Cost Recovery	Jon B. Wellinghoff	3/31	9
The Cost of Human Resources: Controlling the Size and Productivity of the Work Force	John Greidanus	3/31	17
Estimating the Rate Impact of Various Events	Benjamin J. Ewers, Jr.	3/31	21
A Workable Test of a Workably Competitive Bulk Power Market	James V. Barker, Jr.	4/14	13
Use of Standby Generators in Least-cost Planning — A Case Study	Richard M. Onofry and Andrew Gansberg	4/14	18
Implementing Dispatchable Load Management Projects	Michael Hennessy and Michael Sullivan	4/14	23
Power Contracts, Third-party Costs, and Regulation	Eugene L. Fytche	4/14	31
The Dividend Cutting Experience of Electric Utilities: When the Unthinkable Becomes Merely the Unusual	Robert Rosenberg	4/28	11
Least-cost Planning and Demand-side Management: Six Common Fallacies and One Simple Truth	Larry E. Ruff	4/28	19
The First Case Study in Telecommunications Social Contracts	Howard K. Face	4/28	27
Who Will Regulate Utility-owned Fiber-optic Networks? The States or the FCC?	Barbara S. Jost and L. Andrew Tollin	4/28	32
Is There an Optimal Utility Bond Rating?	R. Charles Moyer and Shomir Sil	5/12	9
A Social Compromise — A Contract With Limits	Richard Stannard and Eric A. Leighton	5/12	16
Why "Merely" Isn't Merely, and How Normalization Works for All of Us	C. B. Harreld	5/12	24
Net Energy Use Impacts of PURPA Implementation	Mark Lennon and		

PUBLIC UTILITIES FORTNIGHTLY
FEATURE ARTICLES — Cont'd

<i>Title</i>	<i>Author</i>	<i>Issue</i>	<i>Page</i>
Natural Gas Is Worldwide	David Meyers	5/12	28
Gas in Britain: Regulation of a Privatized Former State Monopoly	John Kean	5/26	15
Up-to-date Gas Supply Planning	Branko Terzic and James McKinnon	5/26	20
Marginal Demand Analysis: A Response to Competition	H. Alan Catron and T. Eugene Ramsay	5/26	28
Powering America to a More Productive Future	William A. Cady and George W. Burch	5/26	34
Economic Efficiency in Plant Construction Prudence Reviews	Robert W. Scherer	6/9	17
The Value of Load Research	Benjamin Zycher	6/9	22
The Respective Costs of Serving Large and Small Residential Customers	Clark W. Gellings and Mark A. Swift	6/9	32
Chasing a Fad at the FERC	Anthony J. Yankel	6/9	42
Getting the Most Out of Customer Service: A Moment of Truth in Strategic Management	Durwood Chalker	6/23	9
The Evolution of Automatic Meter Reading	Charles R. Budd	6/23	13
The Electric Utility Executives' Forum	James W. Duda	6/23	20
	Lucien E. Smartt,		
	Howard P. Allen,		
	Beri Ballengee,		
	Robert K. Campbell,		
	Richard A. Clarke,		
	John V. Cleary, Jr.,		
	O. Mark De Michele,		
	William B. Ellis,		
	Robert J. Harrison,		
	Edwin Lupberger,		
	William T. McCormick, Jr.,		
	George V. McGowan,		
	James J. O'Connor,		
	Thomas A. Page,		
	Jackson H. Randolph,		
	Jack F. Rowe,		
	John W. Rowe,		
	John A. Schuchart,		
	R. Wayne Slone,		
	W. S. White, Jr.	6/9	78